

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,162	12/15/2003	Ji Yong Park	1514.1030 2087	
49455	7590 04/28/2006		EXAMINER	
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP			LANDAU, MATTHEW C	
1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	TON, DC 20005	OC 20005		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

and the second s	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/734,162	PARK ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
1	Matthew Landau	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 14 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	_		
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid aba offidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl ly must be filed within o	nce, which FR 41.31; or one of the
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) a	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	f the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		,	,
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		-	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		<u>.</u>	
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		·	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence is extended. An evidence is	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fail: See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		1	
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	•	M	ice because:
13. Other:			

KENNETH PARKER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant essentially argues that Isobe does not disclose "primary grain boundaries". As stated previously, Applicant has not explicitly defined "primary grain boundaries" in a manner that would preclude the interpretation set forth by the Examiner in the Final Rejection. Applicants makes similar arguments regarding the combination of Zhang and Susuki. Applicant further argues regarding Zhang and Susuki that "assuming the inter-crystalline barriers 4 of Zhang were primary crystal grain boundaries as alleged in the Office Action, then it would not be obvious to combine the teaches of Zhang with Suzuki since the references teach two distinct methods for forming cyrstal structure". However, in the preceding paragraphs, Applicant indicated that both Zhang and Suzuki use MILC. It is unclear what the two distinct methods are. Regardless, the methods for forming the crystal structure have nothing to do with the 103 rejection because Suzuki is merely relied upon for teaching an LDD region.